

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/810,548	Applicant(s) UITENBROEK, PAUL	
	Examiner Erick R. Solis	Art Unit 3747	

All Participants:

 (1) Erick R. Solis.

 (2) Robert W. Becker.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 July 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed applicant's representative of certain procedural deficiencies regarding foreign priority claims. Specifically that the reissue application incorrectly claimed foreign priority to a PCT application of which this is a national stage application. Applicant's representative agreed to submit a new declaration correcting the deficiencies, the examiner indicated he would correct the specification by an examiner's amendment. .